Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/872,333	IBORRA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Satish S. Rampuria	2191
II Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Satish S. Rampuria</u> .	(3)	
(2) Ronald Craig Fish [28,843].	(4)	
Date of Interview: 26 April 2006	Time: <u>11:45 AM</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: No.		
Claims discussed: 2,4 and 26.	•	
Prior art documents discussed: No.		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
Satish & Rensh		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative S	ignature – if appropriate)



Application No. 09/872,333

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner called to correct the 101 issues for claims 2, 4 and 26. Examiner suggeste to delete [An] word in the line 1 of the claims and add "A computer" to make more readable for computer apparatus. Applicant has granted the changes. For details see the Examiner's amendment..